Oregon State University Department of Crop and Soil Science
Non-classroom Teaching Peer Evaluation Form
03-27-07

Presenter's Name __________________________________________   Date __________________

Title/Topic ________________________________________________ Invited Presentation: Yes_____  No_____

Event __________________________________________________________________________

Audience_______________________________________ Site ________________________________

Were the site and the presentation style or approach compatible (matched)?  Yes____  No_____

Did the site present problems such as poor public address system or nasty weather?  Yes____  No_____

Evaluator name and signature ______________________________________________________________

Place “X” or “checkmark” on line after question and provide additional comments or suggestions as appropriate. Send original to the Department Head and a copy to the instructor.  Talk with the instructor about observations if warranted.

Delivering the information

1. Did the presenter provide a(n) ____ overview _____ summary or _____ otherwise emphasize important points?

2. Was the information presented in a logical manner?

3. Was a clear message delivered with appropriate detail for the topic and audience?
   __ Too much detail

4. Was the information presented current (recent developments) and relevant?

5. Was the presenter technically correct and knowledgeable with the information presented?

6. Were problem-solving techniques or solutions provided, or emphasized, when appropriate?

7. Did the presenter use language that was appropriate for the participants, for example, did the presenter neither talk over their heads nor down to them?

8. Please note any annoying personal habits or mannerisms used by presenter in comments.

9. Please comment on any of the above and specifically about presenter strengths below.

Comments/suggestions:
Relating to the participants

10. Presenter related the information to participants’ needs/interests?

11. Presenter encouraged questions/discussion about topic, when appropriate.

12. Questions from participants were effectively addressed or answered?

13. Presenter evaluated audience attention with questions or other methods?

14. Presenter directed participants to other sources of information relating to topic, where appropriate?

15. Please comment on any of the above and presenter strengths.

Comments/suggestions:

Teaching Aids

16. Were the teaching aids appropriate for the presentation and the site?

17. Did the presenter make creative use of teaching aids to enhance the presentation?

18. Did the instructor use variety in presentation or activity? (asking questions of the audience are a form of variety)

19. Was the presentation format clear and understandable (did the presenter use presentation tools effectively)?

20. Please comment on any of the above and presenter strengths.

Comments/suggestions:

Overall teaching ability

Please rate the presenter’s teaching and presenting skill on the following (1 = needs improvement, 3 = satisfactory, 5 = outstanding, NA = do not wish to give rating or feel it is not appropriate)

21. Overall rating of teacher’s performance? 1 2 3 4 5 NA

Comments/suggestions: